Lagrangian perturbation theory in Newtonian cosmology

Takayuki Tatekawa[1,*]

[1]*Department of Physics, Waseda University,*

3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

(Dated: January 23, 2005)

We discuss various analytical approximation methods for the evolution of the density fluctuation in the Universe. From primordial density fluctuation, the large-scale structure is formed via its own self-gravitational instability. For this dynamical evolution, several approaches have been considered. In Newtonian cosmology, in which matter motion is described by Newtonian dynamics with cosmic expansion, Lagrangian description for the cosmic fluid is known to work rather well for quasi-nonlinear clustering regime. In this paper, we briefly review Lagrangian perturbation theory in Newtonian cosmology.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 95.30.Lz, 98.65.Dx

arXiv:astro-ph/0412025v4 23 May 2005

I. INTRODUCTION

There are various structures in the Universe. For example, galaxies, group of galaxies, cluster of galaxies, voids, large-scale structure, and so on. How are such structures formed? As the most plausible scenario, we have considered that a primordial density fluctuation spontaneously produces by itself a gravitational instability. The fluctuation could have been generated by several processes in the early Universe [19, 32, 34, 35]. When we do not consider the superhorizon scale or extremely dense region like a supermassive black hole, the motion of the cosmological fluid can be described by Newtonian cosmology. In Newtonian cosmology, the motion of matter is described by Newtonian dynamics, and the cosmic expansion is given by the Friedmann equation.

The Lagrangian description for the cosmological fluid can be usefully applied to the structure formation scenario. This description provides a relatively accurate model even in a quasi-linear regime. Zel'dovich [63] proposed a linear Lagrangian approximation for dust

*Electronic address: tatekawa@gravity.phys.waseda.ac.jp

fluid. This approximation is called the Zel'dovich approximation (ZA) [3, 11, 19, 53, 56, 63]. After that, higher-order approximation for the Lagrangian description was proposed [6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 55]. Then it was shown that the Lagrangian approximation describes the evolution of density fluctuation better than the Eulerian approximation [44, 54, 62]. Especially for the planar model, ZA gives an exact solution [24, 56].

Although the Lagrangian approximation gives an accurate description until a quasi-linear regime develops, it cannot describe the model after the formation of caustics. For example, in ZA, even after the formation of caustics, the fluid elements keep moving in the direction set up by the initial condition. Therefore, the nonlinear structure that forms diffuses.

In order to proceed with a hydrodynamical description in which caustics do not form, a qualitative pressure gradient [64] and thermal velocity scatter [33, 56] in a collisionless matter have been discussed. Additionally the "adhesion approximation" (AA) [27] was proposed based on the nonlinear diffusion equation (Burgers' equation). In AA, an artificial viscosity term is added to ZA. Because of the viscosity term, we can avoid caustics formation. Using AA, the problem of structure formation has been discussed [31, 41, 46, 56, 60]. The density divergence does not occur in AA, and the density distribution close to the N-body simulation can be produced. However, the origin of the viscosity has not yet been clarified. Another modified model for the Lagrangian approximation is known as the "Truncated (or Optimized) Zel'dovich approximation" (TZA) [18, 42]. The evolution equation in TZA is the same as that in ZA. Instead of the evolution, the initial power spectrum is changed in TZA. Because the caustics are formed by small-scale fluctuation, TZA introduces some cutoff in the small scale in the initial spectrum. As a result, TZA avoids the formation of the caustic. However, the physical meaning of the cutoff also has not yet been clarified.

For another purpose, improvement of approximation, several modified models have been proposed. For example, Bagla and Padmanabhan [5] developed a new scheme that extended the frozen flow approximation [39]. Then they showed better agreement with the N-body simulations than ZA. Instead of ordinary perturbative expansion, the Padé approximation was introduced to Lagrangian approximation [40, 62]. When we consider the spherical void evolution, if we increase the order of Lagrangian approximation to try to improve, contrary to expectation, the description becomes worse [44, 54]. On the other hand, the Padé approximation improves the description of the void evolution. Another approach is generalized ZA. Although ZA solutions are independent of position, the solutions of generalized models depend on position. Although the computation becomes complicated, we can obtain exact

solutions for planar, cylindrical, and spherical symmetric models [4, 7, 37, 52].

In ZA and its extended models, pressure was ignored. Recently, Lagrangian approximation in which the effect of pressure was taken into consideration have been analyzed. Buchert and Domínguez [15] discussed the effect of velocity dispersion using the collisionless Boltzmann equation [8]. They argued that models of a large-scale structure should be constructed for a flow describing the average motion of a multi-stream system. Then they showed that when the velocity dispersion is regarded as small and isotropic it produces effective "pressure" or viscosity terms. Furthermore, they derived the relation between mass density ρ and pressure P, i.e., an "equation of state." Buchert *et al.* [16] showed how the viscosity term or the effective pressure of a fluid is generated, assuming that the peculiar acceleration is parallel to the peculiar velocity. Domínguez [22, 23] clarified that a hydrodynamic formulation is obtained via a spatial coarse-graining in a many-body gravitating system, and that the viscosity term in AA can be derived by the expansion of coarse-grained equations.

With respect to the relation between the viscosity term and effective pressure, and the extension of the Lagrangian description to various matter, the Lagrangian perturbation theory of pressure has been considered. Adler and Buchert [2] have formulated the Lagrangian perturbation theory for a barotropic fluid. Morita and Tatekawa [43] and Tatekawa *et al.* [57] solved the Lagrangian perturbative equations for a polytropic fluid up to the second order. Hereafter, we call this model the "pressure model." The behavior of the pressure model strongly depends on the polytropic exponent γ [43, 57, 58]. According to a recent study [59], the effect of pressure cannot realize the artificial viscosity in AA completely.

Matarrese and Mohayaee proposed Lagrangian perturbative equation for two component (baryon and cold dark matter) fluids [38]. They consider lowest-order Lagrangian perturbation for the two components and discussed the evolution of the density fluctuation.

We especially mention only various Lagrangian perturbation models. For advanced and related topics, we recommend to refer other reviews and books ([19, 29, 48, 50, 53], for example).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present basic equations for the cosmological fluid in Newtonian cosmology. First, we show Eulerian description for the basic equations in Sec. II A. Then we introduce Lagrangian description in Sec. II B. In Sec. III, we show perturbative solutions for dust fluid up to a third-order approximation. First, we consider first-order longitudinal solutions in Sec. III A. The first-order approximation is called

"Zel'dovich approximation" (ZA). After that, a higher-order approximation is proposed. In Sec. III B, we mention second- and third-order longitudinal solutions. In Sec. III C, we explain the transverse mode. When we analyze vorticity for cosmological fluid, we need to consider this mode. Although these solutions seem useful, they do not have physical meaning after formation of a caustic. To avoid formation of a caustic, several modified models have been proposed. In Sec. III D and III E, we show modified models for Lagrangian perturbation. In Sec. III D, we explain some modification to avoid the formation of caustics. In Sec. III E, we show alternative models to obtain high accuracy. In Sec. IV, we explain the pressure model. In Sec. V, we summarize the success and failure in Lagrangian approximation and state applications. We notice the spherical void evolution with dust fluid in Appendix A. *In Appendix B, we provide a the table explaining the physical quantities used in this paper.*

II. BASIC EQUATIONS

A. Basic equations for the cosmological fluid

In this section, we present basic equations for the cosmological fluid in Newtonian cosmology; i.e., the motion of fluid is described by Newtonian dynamics, and the background cosmic expansion is given by the Friedmann equation. In this situation, the motion of perfect fluid is described by a continuous equation, Euler's equation, and Poisson's equation [19, 51, 53].

$$\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)_r + \nabla_r \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}\right)_r + (\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)_r \boldsymbol{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla_r P + \boldsymbol{g}\,, \tag{2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{g} = -\nabla_r \Phi\,, \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{g} = 4\pi G\rho\,, \tag{3}$$

To introduce cosmic expansion, we adopt the coordinate transformation from physical coordinates to comoving coordinates.

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{\boldsymbol{r}}{a(t)}, a(t) : \text{scale factor}\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}$ are physical coordinates and comoving coordinates, respectively. The scale factor satisfies the Friedmann equations:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_b - \frac{\mathcal{K}}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\,, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\rho_b + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\,, \tag{6}$$

$$H \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\,, \tag{7}$$

with a curvature constant $\mathcal{K}$ and a cosmological constant Λ. $H = \dot{a}/a$ and ρ_b are Hubble parameter and background density, respectively. Here we define density parameter Ω:

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3H^2}\rho_b\,. \tag{8}$$

Under the transformation (4), the velocity and partial differential operator are changed as

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} = \dot{a}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \quad (\boldsymbol{v} \equiv a\dot{\boldsymbol{x}})\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla_x = a\nabla_r\,, \tag{10}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{r}/a, t)}{\partial t}\right)_r = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_x - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\nabla_x)f\,. \tag{11}$$

Here we define the density fluctuation δ as follows:

$$\rho = \rho_b(t)\{1 + \delta(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\}\,, \quad \rho_b \propto a^{-3}\,. \tag{12}$$

In the comoving coordinates, the basic equations for cosmological fluid are described as

$$\frac{\partial\delta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\cdot\{\boldsymbol{v}(1+\delta)\} = 0\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla_x)\boldsymbol{v} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{1}{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}} - \frac{1}{a\rho}\nabla_x P\,, \tag{14}$$

$$\nabla_x\times\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}} = \boldsymbol{0}\,, \tag{15}$$

$$\nabla_x\cdot\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}} = -4\pi G\rho_b a\delta\,. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, we obtain from Eqs. (14) and (15),

$$\nabla_x\times\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{a}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla_x\right)\boldsymbol{v}\right) = \boldsymbol{0}\,, \tag{17}$$

and from Eqs. (14) and (16),

$$\nabla_x\cdot\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{a}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla_x\right)\boldsymbol{v}\right) = -4\pi G\rho_b\delta - \nabla_x\cdot\left(\frac{1}{\rho a}\nabla_x P\right)\,. \tag{18}$$

B. The Lagrangian description for the cosmological fluid

In the Lagrangian hydrodynamics, the coordinates $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the fluid elements are represented in terms of Lagrangian coordinates $\boldsymbol{q}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{q}, t)\,, \tag{19}$$

where $\boldsymbol{q}$ is defined as initial values of $\boldsymbol{x}$, and $\boldsymbol{s}$ denotes the Lagrangian displacement vector due to the presence of inhomogeneities. The peculiar velocity is given by

$$\boldsymbol{v} = a\dot{\boldsymbol{s}}\,. \tag{20}$$

Then we introduce the Lagrangian time derivative:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{a}\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla_x\,. \tag{21}$$

Using the Lagrangian derivative, we rewrite Eqs. (17) and (18). The nonlinear term of the peculiar velocity disappears.

$$\nabla_x \times \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\boldsymbol{v}\right) \;=\; \boldsymbol{0}\,, \tag{22}$$

$$\nabla_x \cdot \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\boldsymbol{v}\right) \;=\; -4\pi G\rho_b\delta - \nabla_x\cdot\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla_x P\right)\,. \tag{23}$$

The exact form of the energy density in the Lagrangian space is obtained from Eq. (13) as

$$\rho = \rho_{\mathrm{b}} J^{-1}\,, \tag{24}$$

where $J \equiv \det(\partial x_i/\partial q_j) = \det(\delta_{ij}+\partial s_i/\partial q_j)$ is the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation from $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\boldsymbol{q}$. J is described by expansion of the derivative of Lagrangian perturbation as follows:

$$J = 1 + \nabla_q\cdot\boldsymbol{s} + \frac{1}{2}\left((\nabla_q\cdot\boldsymbol{s})^2 - \frac{\partial s_i}{\partial q_j}\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial q_i}\right) + \det\left(\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial q_j}\right)\,. \tag{25}$$

Next, we consider the description of the vorticity in Lagrangian space. We define the vorticity as

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \equiv \frac{1}{a}\nabla_x\times\boldsymbol{v}\,. \tag{26}$$

From Eq. (22), we obtain the vorticity equation.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathrm{d}t} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\boldsymbol{\omega} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{a}\left(\nabla_x\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\right) = \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\nabla_x\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)\,. \tag{27}$$

In the Lagrangian description, Eq. (27) is solved exactly.

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0(\boldsymbol{q})\cdot\nabla_q\right)\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{q},t)}{a^2 J}\,, \tag{28}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0(\boldsymbol{q})$ is the primordial vorticity.

From Eqs. (20) and (23), the peculiar gravitational field is written as

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}} = a\left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{s}} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\boldsymbol{s}} - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\rho}(\rho)\,J^{-1}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}J\right)\,, \tag{29}$$

where an overdot ($\dot{}$) denotes $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t$. Hence, from Eqs. (15) and (16), we obtain the following equations for $\boldsymbol{s}$:

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{s}} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\boldsymbol{s}} \right) = 0 \,, \tag{30}$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{s}} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\boldsymbol{s}} - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\, J^{-1}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} J \right) = -4\pi G\rho_{\mathrm{b}}(J^{-1} - 1) \,. \tag{31}$$

If we find solutions of Eqs. (30) and (31) for $\boldsymbol{s}$, the dynamics of the system considered is completely determined. Since these equations are highly nonlinear and hard to solve exactly, we will advance a perturbative approach. Remark that, in solving the equations for $\boldsymbol{s}$ in the Lagrangian coordinates $\boldsymbol{q}$, the operator $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ will be transformed into $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ by the following rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \,. \tag{32}$$

We decompose $\boldsymbol{s}$ into the longitudinal and the transverse modes as $\boldsymbol{s} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{q}} S + \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{q}} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathrm{T}} = 0$.

III. DUST MODEL

A. Zel'dovich approximation

Zel'dovich derived a first-order solution of the longitudinal mode for dust fluid [63]. The evolution equation for first-order solution is written as

$$\ddot{S}^{(1)} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{S}^{(1)} - 4\pi G\rho_b S^{(1)} = 0 \,. \tag{33}$$

Then, the first-order solutions are written as follows:

$$S^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}, t) = D_+(t)\psi_+^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}) + D_-(t)\psi_-^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \,. \tag{34}$$

For the E-dS Universe model ($\Omega = 1$), $D_\pm$ is written as

$$D_+(t) \;=\; t^{2/3} \propto a(t) \,, \tag{35}$$

$$D_-(t) \;=\; t^{-1} \propto a(t)^{-3/2} \,. \tag{36}$$

For a non-flat model, following Doroshkevich *et al.* [24], we replace the standard cosmological time by a new time τ defined by

$$\mathrm{d}\tau \propto a^{-2}\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{37}$$

Using new time, we obtain an analytic form for $D_\pm$. For the open model ($\Omega < 1$),

$$D_+(\tau) = 1 + 3(\tau^2 - 1)\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\log\left(\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau + 1}\right)\right), \tag{38}$$

$$D_-(\tau) = \tau(\tau^2 - 1). \tag{39}$$

For the closed model ($\Omega > 1$), we obtain the analytic form by the transformation $\tau \to i\tau$ in Eq. (38).

For Λ-flat model, in which the cosmological constant exists and the Universe is flat, $D_\pm$ is written as

$$D_+(t) = h\int_h^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\theta^2(\theta^2 - 1)} = \frac{h}{2}B_{1/h^2}\left(\frac{5}{6}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \tag{40}$$

$$D_-(t) = h, \tag{41}$$

$$h = \frac{H(t)}{\sqrt{\Lambda/3}}, \tag{42}$$

where B_{1/h^2} is incomplete Beta function:

$$B_z(\mu, \nu) \equiv \int_0^z p^{\mu-1}(1 - p)^{\nu-1}\mathrm{d}p. \tag{43}$$

This first-order approximation is called the Zel'dovich approximation (ZA). Especially when we consider the plane-symmetric case, ZA gives exact solutions [3, 24].

Using Eqs. (24) and (34), we can describe density fluctuation. Here we define the deformation tensor $X_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$X_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{\partial x_\alpha}{\partial q_\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\partial s_\alpha}{\partial q_\beta}. \tag{44}$$

The eigenvalues of the deformation tensor (44) are written by

$$w_i = -D(t)\lambda_i^0(\boldsymbol{q}), \tag{45}$$

where λ_i^0 is the eigenvalue of $\partial\psi_{,\alpha}/\partial q_\beta$. Using these eigenvalues, the density fluctuation is described by

$$(1 + \delta)^{-1} = \Pi_{i=1}^3(1 + w_i). \tag{46}$$

When w_i becomes -1, the caustic ("Zel'dovich's pancake") is formed and the density fluctuation diverges. After that, the perturbative solution does not have physical meaning. In order to avoid the formation of caustics, several modified models have been proposed. We will discuss these models in Sec. III D. By generalizing the eigenvalues of the deformation tensor (Eq. (45)), more exact approximations can be proposed. These models will be expressed in Sec. III E.

B. Higher-order approximation

ZA solutions are known as perturbative solutions, which describe the structure well in the quasi-nonlinear regime. To improve approximation, higher-order perturbative solutions of Lagrangian displacement were derived. Irrotational second-order solutions (PZA) were derived by Bouchet *et al.* [9] and Buchert and Ehlers [13], and third-order solutions (PPZA) were obtained by Buchert [14], Bouchet *et al.* [10], and Catelan [17].

Hereafter we consider only growing-mode D_+ in first-order Lagrangian perturbation. First, we show the evolution equation for second- and third-order perturbative equations for the longitudinal mode. Here we decompose the Lagrangian perturbation to time and a spacial component.

$$S^{(2)}(t,\boldsymbol{q}) \;=\; E(t)\psi^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q})\,, \tag{47}$$

$$S^{(3)}(t,\boldsymbol{q}) \;=\; F(t)\psi^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{q})\,, \tag{48}$$

where the superscript $S^{(n)}$ means n-th order Lagrangian perturbation. The second-order perturbative equation is written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\ddot{E}+2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{E}-4\pi G\rho_b E\right)\psi^{(2)}_{,ii}\\ =\;& -2\pi G\rho_b D^2\left[(\psi^{(1)}_{,ii})^2-\psi^{(1)}_{,ij}\psi^{(1)}_{,ji}\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The third-order perturbative equation becomes little complicated.

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\ddot{F}+2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{F}-4\pi G\rho_b F\right)\psi^{(3)}_{,ii}\\ =\;& -8\pi G\rho_b\left[D(E-D^2)\left(\psi^{(1)}_{,ii}\psi^{(2)}_{,jj}-\psi^{(1)}_{,ij}\psi^{(2)}_{,ji}\right)+D^3\det(\psi^{(1)}_{,ij})\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The spacial component of the second order are written as follows:

$$\psi^{(2)}_{,ii}=(\psi^{(1)}_{,jj})^2-\psi^{(1)}_{,jk}\psi^{(1)}_{,kj}\,. \tag{51}$$

The time component of second-order E obeys the evolution equation.

$$\ddot{E}+2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{E}-4\pi G\rho_b E=-2\pi G\rho_b D^2\,. \tag{52}$$

For the third order, we divide to two components of which one is derived from combining $\psi^{(1)}$ and $\psi^{(2)}$ and the other is derived from $(\psi^{(1)})^3$.

$$S^{(3)} \;=\; F_a(t)\psi^{(3)}_a(\boldsymbol{q})+F_b(t)\psi^{(3)}_b(\boldsymbol{q})\,, \tag{53}$$

$$\psi^{(3)}_{a\,,ii} \;=\; \det\left(\psi^{(1)}_{,jk}\right)\,, \tag{54}$$

$$\psi^{(3)}_{b\,,ii} = \psi^{(1)}_{,jj}\psi^{(2)}_{,kk} - \psi^{(1)}_{,jk}\psi^{(2)}_{,kj}\,, \tag{55}$$

$$\ddot{F}_a + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{F}_a - 4\pi G\rho_b F_a = -8\pi G\rho_b D^3\,, \tag{56}$$

$$\ddot{F}_b + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{F}_b - 4\pi G\rho_b F_b = -8\pi G\rho_b D(E - D^2)\,. \tag{57}$$

For the E-dS Universe model, E and F and described with analytic form.

$$E(t) = -\frac{3}{7}t^{4/3} \propto D(t)^2\,, \tag{58}$$

$$F_a(t) = -\frac{1}{3}t^2 \propto D(t)^3\,, \tag{59}$$

$$F_b(t) = \frac{10}{21}t^2 \propto D(t)^3\,. \tag{60}$$

For the non-flat Universe model, E can be described with analytic form. For the open Universe model,

$$E(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{9}{2}(\tau^2-1)\left[1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\log\left(\frac{\tau-1}{\tau+1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tau + \frac{\tau^2-1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\tau-1}{\tau+1}\right)\right)\right]\,, \tag{61}$$

where τ is defined by Eq. (37). For the closed model ($\Omega > 1$), we obtain analytic form by the transformation $\tau \to i\tau$ as in Eq. (38).

For the Λ-flat model, unfortunately, we need to solve evolution equations with the numerical method [10].

$$3(h^2-1)\ddot{E} + 2h\dot{E} = 2E - 2D^2\,, \tag{62}$$

$$3(h^2-1)\ddot{F}_a + 2h\dot{F}_a = 2F_a - 2D^3\,, \tag{63}$$

$$3(h^2-1)\ddot{F}_b + 2h\dot{F}_b = 2F_b + 2D^3\left(1 - \frac{E}{D^2}\right)\,. \tag{64}$$

C. The transverse mode

For the transverse mode, until third-order solutions have been obtained [6, 12, 55]. The evolution equation for the first-order perturbation is given as

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{S}}^T + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}^T = 0\,. \tag{65}$$

For the E-dS model, the first-order solution is written as

$$\boldsymbol{S}^T(\boldsymbol{q}, t) = \boldsymbol{S}^T_a(\boldsymbol{q}) + t^{-1/3}\boldsymbol{S}^T_b(\boldsymbol{q})\,. \tag{66}$$

Therefore the transverse mode does not have a growing solution in the first-order perturbation. Furthermore, if we consider only the longitudinal mode for the first order, the

second-order transverse mode does not appear. However, the third-order transverse mode is generated by the triplet of the first-order longitudinal mode, and it grows. Although the third-order solution has the growing mode, this solution does not show that a vorticity grows.

D. Modified models I – avoidance of formation of a caustic

Cosmological N-body simulations show that pancakes, skeletons, and clumps remain during evolution. However, when we continue applying the solutions of ZA, PZA, or PPZA after the appearance of caustics, the nonlinear structure diffuses and breaks.

Adhesion approximation (AA) [27] was proposed from a consideration based on Burgers' equation. This model is derived by the addition of an artificial viscous term to ZA. AA with small viscosity deals with the skeleton of the structure, which at an arbitrary time is found directly without a long numerical calculation.

We briefly describe the adhesion model. In ZA, the equation for "peculiar velocity" in the E-dS model is written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial a} + (\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla_x)\boldsymbol{u} \;=\; 0\,, \tag{67}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} \equiv \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial a} = \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}}{\dot{a}}\,, \tag{68}$$

where $a(\propto t^{2/3})$ is scale factor. To go beyond ZA, we add the artificial viscosity term to the right side of the equation.

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial a} + (\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla_x)\boldsymbol{u} = \nu\nabla_x^2\boldsymbol{u}\,. \tag{69}$$

We consider the case when the viscosity coefficient $\nu \to +0$ ($\nu \neq 0$). In this case, the viscosity term especially affects the high-density region. Within the limits of a small ν, the analytic solution of Eq.(69) is given by

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_\alpha \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{q}_\alpha}{a}\right) j_\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{I_\alpha}{2\nu}\right) \Big/ \sum_\alpha j_\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{I_\alpha}{2\nu}\right)\,, \tag{70}$$

where $\boldsymbol{q}_\alpha$ is the Lagrangian points that minimize the action

$$I_\alpha \;\equiv\; I(\boldsymbol{x},a;\boldsymbol{q}_\alpha) = S_0(\boldsymbol{q}_\alpha) + \frac{(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{q}_\alpha)^2}{2a} = \min.\,, \tag{71}$$

$$j_\alpha \;\equiv\; \left[\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial^2 S_0}{\partial q_i \partial q_j}\right)\right]^{-1/2}\Bigg|_{\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{q}_\alpha}\,, \tag{72}$$

$$S_0 \;=\; S(\boldsymbol{q},t_0)\,, \tag{73}$$

considered as a function of $\boldsymbol{q}$ for fixed $\boldsymbol{x}$ [31]. In AA, because of the viscosity term, the caustic does not appear and a stable nonlinear structure can exist. However, when the evolution is advanced too much, the Universe becomes covered with a high-density structure called "skeleton."

As another modification theory, truncated (or Optimized) Zel'dovich approximation (TZA) has been used well [18, 42]. During evolution, the small scale structure contracts and forms caustics. Therefore, if we introduce some cutoff in the small scale, we will be able to avoid the formation of caustics [18, 42].

In TZA, for the avoidance of caustics, we introduce a cutoff in the initial density spectrum. Various cutoff methods were considered, and the Gaussian cutoff was the most suitable from the comparison with N-body simulation. The Gaussian cutoff is introduced to the initial density spectrum as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}(k, t_{\rm in}) \to \mathcal{P}(k, t_{\rm in}) \exp\left(-k^2/k_{NL}\right) , \tag{74}$$

where k_{NL} is the "nonlinear wavenumber", defined by

$$1 = D_+(t)^2 \int_{k_0}^{k_{NL}} \mathcal{P}(k, t_{\rm in}) dk \, , \tag{75}$$

where $D(t)_+$ is the growing factor in ZA (Eq. (34)). Because the "nonlinear wavenumber" depends on the time, the wavenumber becomes small during evolution. Therefore, when the evolution is advanced too much, small structure will vanished.

E. Modified models II – high-accuracy models

Bagla and Padmanabhan [5] developed a new approximation scheme that improved ZA. They considered extension the frozen flow approximation [39]. Then they showed better agreement with the N-body simulations than ZA.

Yoshisato *et al.* [62] introduced the Padé approximation for the evolution of the density fluctuation. The Padé approximation seems to be a generalization of the Taylor expansion. For a given function $f(x)$, the Padé approximation is written as the ratio of two polynomials:

$$f(x) \simeq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M} a_k x^k}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k x^k} \, , \tag{76}$$

where a_k and b_k are constant coefficients. Assume we already know the coefficient c_l ($0 \leq l \leq M + N$) of the Taylor expansion around $x = 0$.

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{M+N} c_l x^l + o(x^{M+N+1}) \, . \tag{77}$$

Comparing the coefficents a_k, b_k, and c_k, we determine a_k and b_k.

$$a_0 = c_0 \,, \tag{78}$$

$$a_k = \sum_{m=1}^{N} b_m c_{k-m} \quad (k = 1, \cdots, N) \,, \tag{79}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N} b_m c_{N-m+k} = -c_{N+k} \quad (k = 1, \cdots, N) \,. \tag{80}$$

The advantage of the Padé approximation is that even if we consider a same-order expansion, the Padé approximation describes original function rather better than the Taylor expansion.

First, Yoshisato *et al.* [62] showed that the application of the Padé approximation for Eulerian description improves the past Eulerian models. Then Matsubara *et al.* [40] proposed the application of the Padé approximation for Lagrangian description and improved PZA and PPZA.

Another approach is known as "local" approximations. In ZA, the growing factor D is independent of the position. On the other hand, in local approximations, the factor depends on position.

First, we derive an evolution equation for the eigenvalue of the deformation tensor (Eq. (44)). Here we use time variable τ (Eq. (37)). Differentiating of Eq. (29) with respect to x_j and summing up the trace part, we obtain

$$X_{ij}^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 X_{ji}}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -4\pi G a^4 \rho_b (J^{-1} - 1) \,. \tag{81}$$

If X_{ij} is diagonal,

$$X_{ij} = (1 + w_i)\delta_{ij} \,, \tag{82}$$

Eq. (81) is written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\ddot{w}_i}{(1 + w_i)} = -4\pi G a^4 \rho_b \left[\frac{1}{(1 + w_1)(1 + w_2)(1 + w_3)} - 1 \right] . \tag{83}$$

For a spherical case we have $w_1 = w_2 = w_3$; for a cylindrical case $w_1 = w_2$ and $w_3 = 0$; and for a planar case $w_2 = w_3 = 0$. ZA is a linearized form for w_i of Eq. (83).

Reiseneger and Miralda-Escudé [52] proposed changing the eigenvalue (Eq. (45)) as

$$w_i = -D(t, \lambda_i^0)\lambda_i^0(\boldsymbol{q}) \,. \tag{84}$$

This is then substituted in Eq. (83). They named this model the modified Zel'dovich approximation (MZA) or extension of ZA (EZA). The MZA is exact for planar, spherical, and cylindrical symmetric cases. However, they also reported that the MZA may not work for underdense regions.

Audit and Alimi [4] introduced another ansatz. Eq. (83) may be written in this form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[(1+w_j+w_k+w_jw_k)\ddot{w}_i-4\pi Ga^4\rho_b\left(1+\frac{w_j+w_k}{2}+\frac{w_jw_k}{3}\right)w_i\right]=0\,. \tag{85}$$

They split this equation into three equations for each w_i:

$$(1+w_j+w_k+w_jw_k)\ddot{w}_i=4\pi Ga^4\rho_b\left(1+\frac{w_j+w_k}{2}+\frac{w_jw_k}{3}\right)w_i\,. \tag{86}$$

This approximation is called the Deformation Tensor Approximation (DTA) [4]. Although this is also exact for planar, spherical, and cylindrical symmetric cases, the splitting of Eq. (85) is not unique, and we could add more local terms in Eq. (86).

Betancort-Rijo and López-Corredoida [7] proposed another generalization. In terms of the linear solution $\lambda_i = D(t)\lambda_i^0(\boldsymbol{q})$ in ZA, they generalized Eq. (45) to

$$w_i=-r_i(\lambda_i,\lambda_j,\lambda_k)\lambda_i^0(\boldsymbol{q})\,, \tag{87}$$

where $r_i(\lambda_i,\lambda_j,\lambda_k)$ is the power series of λ.

$$r_i(\lambda_i,\lambda_j,\lambda_k)=1+\sum_{l,m,n=0}^{\infty}C^p_{l,m,n}(\lambda_j+\lambda_k)^l(\lambda_j-\lambda_k)^{2n}\lambda_i^m\,, \tag{88}$$

where $C^p_{l,m,n}$ are the coefficients of the p-th order terms ($p=l+2n+m$). The ZA corresponds to $r_i=1$. They named this generalized model the "Complete Zel'dovich approximation" (CZA). They calculated explicitly the coefficients $C^p_{l,m,n}$ up to the fourth order of λ in the E-dS Universe model [7]. This model is also exact for planar, spherical, and cylindrical symmetric cases. Furthermore, the CZA describes the evolution of ellipsoid dust better than the MZA, the DTA, and ZA [37]. However the CZA does not apply for perturbations with negative values of λ_i^0 [7, 37].

IV. PRESSURE MODEL

Although AA seems a good model for avoiding the formation of caustics, the origin of the modification (or artificial viscosity) is not clarified. Buchert and Domínguez [15] argued that the effect of velocity dispersion becomes important beyond the caustics. They showed that when the velocity dispersion is still small and can be considered isotropic, it gives effective "pressure" or viscosity terms. Buchert *et al.* [16] showed how the viscosity term is generated by the effective pressure of a fluid under the assumption that the peculiar acceleration is parallel to the peculiar velocity.

Adler and Buchert [2] have formulated the Lagrangian perturbation theory for a barotropic fluid. Morita and Tatekawa [43] and Tatekawa *et al.* [57] solved the Lagrangian perturbation equations for a polytropic fluid in the Friedmann Universe. Hereafter, we call this model the "pressure model".

If the pressure is given by a barotropic equation of state, the pressure affects only the longitudinal mode in the first-order. Therefore, the transverse solution in the pressure model becomes the same as that in the dust model. The evolution equations are written as

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{S}}^T + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}^T = 0\,, \tag{89}$$

$$\ddot{S} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{S} - 4\pi G\rho_b S - \frac{1}{a^2}\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\right|_{\rho=\rho_b}\nabla^2 S = 0\,. \tag{90}$$

When we assume the polytropic equation of state $P = \kappa\rho^\gamma$, the first-order equation for the longitudinal mode is written as follows.

$$\ddot{S} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{S} - 4\pi G\rho_b S - \frac{\kappa\gamma\rho_b^{\gamma-1}}{a^2}\nabla^2 S = 0\,. \tag{91}$$

The solution manifestly depends on the scale of the fluctuation. We adopt Fourier transformation in Lagrangian space for Eq. (91).

$$\ddot{\widehat{S}} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\widehat{S}} - 4\pi G\rho_b\widehat{S} + \frac{\kappa\gamma\rho_b^{\gamma-1}}{a^2}\left|\boldsymbol{K}\right|^2\widehat{S} = 0\,, \tag{92}$$

where $\boldsymbol{K}$ is a Lagrangian wavenumber. In the E-dS Universe model, we can write the first-order solution relatively simply [43]. For $\gamma \neq 4/3$,

$$\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{K}, a) \propto a^{-1/4}\,\mathcal{J}_{\pm 5/(8-6\gamma)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2C_2}{C_1}}\frac{|\boldsymbol{K}|}{|4-3\gamma|}\,a^{(4-3\gamma)/2}\right)\,, \tag{93}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_\nu$ denotes the Bessel function of order ν, and for $\gamma = 4/3$,

$$\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{K}, a) \propto a^{-1/4\pm\sqrt{25/16 - C_2|\boldsymbol{K}|^2/2C_1}}\,, \tag{94}$$

where $C_1 \equiv 4\pi G\rho_{\rm b}(a_{\rm in})\,a_{\rm in}^3/3$ and $C_2 \equiv \kappa\gamma\rho_{\rm b}(a_{\rm in})^{\gamma-1}\,a_{\rm in}^{3(\gamma-1)}$. $a_{\rm in}$ is scale factor when an initial condition is given.

For the other FRW universe model, if γ takes a special value, we obtain an analytic solution with a hypergeometric function [57]: For open or closed model, $\gamma = 1, 4/3$. For the Λ-flat Universe model, $\gamma = 1/3, 4/3$.

In this model, the behavior of the solutions strongly depends on the relation between the scale of fluctuation and the Jeans scale. Here we define the Jeans wavenumber as

$$K_{\rm J} \equiv \left(\frac{4\pi G\rho_{\rm b}a^2}{\mathrm{d}P/\mathrm{d}\rho(\rho_{\rm b})}\right)^{1/2}\,. \tag{95}$$

The Jeans wavenumber, which gives a criterion for whether a density perturbation with a wavenumber will grow or decay with oscillation, depends on time in general. If the polytropic index γ is smaller than 4/3, all modes become decaying modes and the fluctuation will disappear. On the other hand, if $\gamma > 4/3$, all density perturbations will grow to collapse. In the case where $\gamma = 4/3$, the growing and decaying modes coexist at all times.

We rewrite the first-order solution Eq. (93) with the Jeans wavenumber:

$$\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{K}, a) \propto a^{-1/4}\, \mathcal{J}_{\pm 5/(8-6\gamma)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{|4-3\gamma|} \frac{|\boldsymbol{K}|}{K_{\rm J}} \right) . \tag{96}$$

In the pressure model, the second-order perturbative solutions have been derived [43, 57]. Because of mode coupling, the calculation of the second-order perturbative solutions are complicated. Only for the E-dS Universe model and the case where $\gamma = 4/3$ have the solutions of the longitudinal and the transverse mode been derived [43]. Then, in the E-dS Universe model, if γ is larger than 4/3, it was shown that the effect of the second-order pressure becomes weak gradually during evolution [57]. In this paper, the authors considered the expansion of the Bessel function,

$$\mathcal{J}_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)} . \tag{97}$$

In the E-dS Universe model, $z \propto a^{(4-3\gamma)/2}$ (Eq. (93)). If γ is larger than 4/3, the index of argument of the Bessel function becomes negative. Therefore, precision does not become much worse even if we drop the higher terms of the expansion. Using finite expansion, they analyzed the second-order perturbation for the case of $\gamma = 5/3$.

These models are considered for a single component fluid. Of course, there are several components in the Universe, for example, baryonic matter and dark matter. Matarrese and Mohayaee [38] proposed the Lagrangian perturbation model for two component fluids. One is dark matter which affects only gravity. Another is baryonic matter which affects gravity for all fluid and pressure for baryonic matter.

When we consider more than one species of matter, the evolution equation of the density fluctuation is modified [48]. We assume a polytropic equation of state for baryonic matter.

$$P \propto \rho^\gamma . \tag{98}$$

Taking the divergence of the baryon Euler equation, we obtain the evolution equation for the baryon density fluctuation with the Lagrangian perturbation.

$$\nabla_x \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B'' = -\frac{3}{2a} \left[\nabla_x \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B' + \frac{\delta_{DM}}{a} + \frac{1}{(\gamma-1) a k_J^2} \nabla_x^2 (1+\delta_B)^{\gamma-1} \right] , \tag{99}$$

where B and DM mean baryon and dark matter, respectively. k_J is the Jeans wavenumber of the baryonic matter. $(')$ denotes the derivative by a scale factor ($' = \partial/\partial a$). Here we assume that the amount of the baryonic matter is much less than that of the dark matter. Therefore, we ignore the gravitational force of the baryonic matter. Substituting Eq. (25) for (99), we obtain

$$\nabla_x \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B'' + \frac{3}{2a}\nabla_x \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B' = -\frac{3}{2a^2}\frac{1-J_{DM}}{J_{DM}} - \frac{3}{2(\gamma-1)a^2k_J^2}\nabla^2\left(\frac{1-J_B}{J_B}\right)^{\gamma-1} . \tag{100}$$

Next, we consider only first-order terms for the Lagrangian displacement $\boldsymbol{s}$.

$$\nabla_q \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B'' + \frac{3}{2a}\nabla_q \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B' - \frac{3}{2a^2}\nabla_q \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{DM} = \frac{3}{2a^2k_J^2}\nabla_q^2\nabla_q \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_B . \tag{101}$$

We note that the same Eulerian position $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ is generally reached by the two components from different Lagrangian positions $\boldsymbol{q}_B$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{DM}$. Here we ignore this difference and set simply $\boldsymbol{q}_B = \boldsymbol{q}_{DM}$, because we consider the lowest order approximation.

We assume that the perturbation has only a longitudinal mode ($\boldsymbol{s} = \nabla_q S$). Under this assumption, we obtain the evolution equation of the Lagrangian perturbation for the baryonic matter.

$$S_B'' + \frac{3}{2a}S_B' - \frac{3}{2a^2}\frac{1}{k_J^2}\nabla_q^2 S_B = \frac{3}{2a}S_{DM} . \tag{102}$$

This equation can be solved in Lagrangian Fourier space.

V. SUMMARY

We briefly review Lagrangian perturbation theory in Newtonian cosmology. From a comparison to Eulerian perturbation theory, Lagrangian perturbation theory describes quasi-nonlinear evolution of density fluctuation rather well. We notice that when we analyze physical phenomena or statistical quantities with Lagrangian perturbation, because the physical coordinates are Eulerian ones, we must transform the coordinates from Lagrangian ones to Eulerian ones. Although transformation from Eulerian ones to Lagrangian ones is given by Eq. (19), the inverse transformation seems a little difficult.

Although Lagrangian perturbation theory seems to work well for describing quasi-nonlinear evolution of the density fluctuation, it is not always useful. For example, we consider the evolution of the spherical void [44, 54]. In general, when we consider higher-order perturbation, the approximation is improved. However, for void evolution, when we advance for a long time, higher-order terms show bad behavior. The even-order (2nd, 4th,...)

perturbative terms promote to development of the reverse, i.e., the void disappears and the density fluctuation converges. On the other hand, the odd-order (3rd, 5th,...) perturbative terms promote evolution excessively. We provie details in Appendix A.

In Sec. III D, we noticed modified models for avoidance of formation of a caustic. However, the origin of those modifications is not clarified. Buchert *et al.* [16] discussed the relation between the viscosity term in the Adhesion approximation and the effective pressure of a fluid. Can the pressure become the origin of the modification? According to a recent study [59], the pressure term can realize that the density distribution looks like that of AA until reaching quasi-nonlinear regime. However, because of Jeans instability, the behavior of the density fluctuation in the pressure model seems different to that in AA.

On the other hand, the pressure especially affects small-scale structure. Therefore, we can expect that the pressure term realize the Gaussian cutoff in TZA. In fact, the correspondence between "nonlinear wavenumber" k_{NL} in TZA (Eq. (75)) and the Jeans wavenumber K_J in the pressure model (Eq. (95)) has been discussed [58]. As a result, the correspondence has not been explained sufficiently. The reason is as follows: First, in TZA, k_{NL} affects only the initial spectrum. On the other hand, K_J affects the evolution of fluctuation. Second, although k_{NL} obviously depends on the initial spectrum, we did not clarify the dependence on the initial condition of K_J. We think that a consideration of the physical process, which was not considered here, or the analysis of the N-body simulation, is necessary for a decision of K_J, i.e. κ.

Therefore, the effect of pressure cannot explain modifications in the Lagrangian approximation. If we consider the origin of these modification with the effect of velocity dispersion, we may have to consider anisotropic velocity dispersion [36].

The Lagrangian perturbation theory seems rather useful until quasi-nonlinear regime develops. However, the density fluctuation becomes strongly nonlinear in the present Universe. Can we apply the theory to the problem of structure formation? Because a huge simulation has executed ([25]), someone may thinks that the perturbation theory is no longer useful. We think that analytic methods are important for examining the physical process of structure formation. For example, in the discussion about statistical quantities, the analytical method shows remarkable results [20, 45, 49, 61].

One possibility is analysis of the past structure. Recently, several galaxy redshift survey projects have been progressing [1, 26, 28]. In these projects, many galaxies within a region ($z < 0.3$ for 2dF, $z < 0.5$ for SDSS, and $0.7 < z < 1.4$ for DEEP2) have been observed.

For the next generation of spectroscopic survey, for example, Kilo-Aperture Optical Spectrograph (KAOS) has been proposed [30]. The two primary scientific purposes of KAOS are (i) the determination of the equation of state of dark energy and (ii) the study of the origin of galaxies. As one of the other science applications for KAOS, the growth of structure is considered. In this project, many high-z galaxies will be observed. Therefore, this project would not only probe topology of the matter distribution but also the dynamical history of structure formation. Because the density contrast will still be small in the high-z region, we expect that we will be able to discuss the characters of the density fluctuation using the Lagrangian perturbation theory well.

Recently, various dark matter models have been proposed [47]. Some of them affect not only the gravity but also a special interaction. We also show that the linear approximation of the pressure model seems rather good until a quasi-linear regime develops. If the interactions of the dark matter are affected by effective pressure, the linear approximation can be applied for the analysis of the quasi-nonlinear evolution of the density fluctuation.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Kei-ichi Maeda for his continuous encouragement. We thank Shuntaro Mizuno and Thanu Padmanabhan for useful discussion. We also thank Kenta Kiuchi and Masato Nozawa for manuscript corrections. We would like to thank Peter Musolf for checking of English writing of this paper. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Fund of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Young Scientists (B) 16740152).

---

[1] K. Abazajian *et al.*, Astron. J. **129**, 17555 (2005).

[2] S. Adler and T. Buchert, Astron. Astrophys. **343**, 317 (1999).

[3] V. I. Arnol'd, S. F. Shandarin, and Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dynamics **20**, 111 (1982).

[4] E. Audit and J.-M. Alimi, Astron. Astrophys. **315**, 11 (1996).

[5] J. S. Bagla and T. Padmanabhan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **266**, 227 (1994).

[6] J. D. Barrow and P. Saich, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 79 (1993).

[7] J. Betancort-Rijo and M. Lopez-Corredoira, Astrophys. J. **534**, L117 (2000).

[8] J. Binney and S. Tremaine, *Galactic Dynamics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987).

[9] F. R. Bouchet, R. Juszkiewicz, S. Colombi, and R. Pellat, Astrophys. J. **394**, L5 (1992).

[10] F. R. Bouchet, S. Colombi, E. Hivon, and R. Juszkiewicz, Astron. Astrophys. **296**, 575 (1995).

[11] T. Buchert, Astron. Astrophys. **223**, 9 (1989).

[12] T. Buchert, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **254**, 729 (1992).

[13] T. Buchert and J. Ehlers, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **264**, 375 (1993).

[14] T. Buchert, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **267**, 811 (1994).

[15] T. Buchert and A. Domínguez, Astron. Astrophys. **335**, 395 (1998).

[16] T. Buchert, A. Domínguez, and J. Pérez-Mercader, Astron. Astrophys. **349**, 343 (1999).

[17] P. Catelan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **276**, 115 (1995).

[18] P. Coles, A. L. Melott, and S. F. Shandarin, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **260**, 765 (1993).

[19] P. Coles and F. Lucchin, *Cosmology: The Origin and Evolution of Cosmic Structure* (John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1995).

[20] M. Davis and P. J. E. Peebles, Astrophys. J. Supp. **34**, 425 (1977).

[21] M. Davis, G. Efstathiou, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, Astrophys. J. **292**, 371 (1985).

[22] A. Domínguez, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 103501 (2000).

[23] A. Domínguez, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **334**, 435 (2002).

[24] A. G. Doroshkevich, V. S. Ryaben'kin, and S. F. Shandarin, Astrofizica **9**, 257 (1973).

[25] A. E. Evrard *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **573**, 7 (2002).

[26] B. F. Gerke, Astrophys. J. **625**, 6 (2005).

[27] S. N. Gurbatov, A. I. Saichev, and S. F. Shandarin, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **236**, 385 (1989).

[28] Ed. Hawkins *et al.*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **346**, 78 (2003).

[29] B. J. Jones, V. J. Martínez, E. Saar, and V. Trimble, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 1211 (2004).

[30] KAOS Webpage, http://www.noao.edu/kaos/ .

[31] L. Kofman, D. Pogosyan, S. F. Shandarin, and A. L. Melott, Astrophys. J. **393**, 437 (1992).

[32] E. W. Kolb and M. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1990).

[33] É. V. Kotok and S. F. Shandarin, Sov. Astron. **31**, 600 (1987).

[34] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).

[35] A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood Academic Publishers,

Chur, 1990).

[36] R. Maartens, J. Triginer, and D. R. Matravers, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 103503 (1999).

[37] M. Makler, T. Kodama, and M. O. Calvão, Astrophys. J. **557**, 88 (2001).

[38] S. Matarrese and R. Mohayaee, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **329**, 37 (2002).

[39] S. Matarrese, F. Lucchin, L. Moscardini, and D. Saez, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **259**, 437 (1992).

[40] T. Matsubara, A. Yoshisato, and M. Morikawa, Astrophys. J. **504**, 7 (1998).

[41] A. L. Melott, S. F. Shandarin, and D. H. Weinberg, Astrophys. J. **428**, 28 (1994).

[42] A. L. Melott, T. F. Pellman, and S. F. Shandarin, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **269**, 626 (1994).

[43] M. Morita and T. Tatekawa, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **328**, 815 (2001).

[44] D. Munshi, V. Sahni, and A. A. Starobinsky, Astrophys. J. **436**, 517 (1994).

[45] R. Nityananda and T. Padmanabhan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **271**, 976 (1994).

[46] A. Nusser and A. Dekel, Astrophys. J. **362**, 14 (1990).

[47] J. P. Ostriker and P. Steinhardt, Science **300**, 1909 (2003).

[48] T. Padmanabhan, *Structure formation in the universe*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

[49] T. Padmanabhan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **278**, L29 (1996).

[50] J. A. Peacock, *Cosmological Physics*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).

[51] P. J. E. Peebles, *The Large-Scale Structure of the Universe*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1981).

[52] A. Reisenegeer and J. Miralda-Escudé, Astrophys. J. **449**, 476 (1995).

[53] V. Sahni and P. Coles, Phys. Rep. **262**, 1 (1995).

[54] V. Sahni and S. F. Shandarin, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **282**, 641 (1996).

[55] M. Sasaki and M. Kasai, Prog. Theor. Phys. **99**, 585 (1998).

[56] S. F. Shandarin and Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 185 (1989).

[57] T. Tatekawa, M. Suda, K. Maeda, M. Morita, and H. Anzai, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 064014 (2002).

[58] T. Tatekawa, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 084020 (2004).

[59] T. Tatekawa, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 064010 (2004).

[60] D. H. Weinberg and J. E. Gunn, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **247**, 260 (1990).

[61] T. Yano and N. Gouda, Astrophys. J. **487**, 473 (1997).

[62] A. Yoshisato, T. Matsubara, and M. Morikawa, Astrophys. J. **498**, 48 (1998).

[63] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Astron. Astrophys. **5**, 84 (1970).

[64] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Sov. Astron. **26**, 289 (1982).

APPENDIX A: SPHERICAL VOID EVOLUTION WITH DUST FLUID

We describe the development of the spherical void with Lagrangian perturbation. Here we consider "top-hat" spherical void, i.e., a constant density spherical void. In the E-dS Universe model, the equation of motion of a spherical shell is written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(a^2\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) = -\frac{2a^2x}{9t^2}\left[\left(\frac{x_0}{x}\right)^3 - 1\right], \tag{A1}$$

where x is a comoving radial coordinate and $x_0 = x(t_0)$ [44]. Under the initial condition $\delta = a$ for $a \to 0$, Eq. (A1) can be integrated.

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}a}\right)^2 = a\left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{3}{5}\right), \tag{A2}$$

where $R(\theta) = a(t)x/x_0$ is physical particle trajectory. The exact solution for the expansion of a top-hat void (Eq. (A2)) can be parameterized as follows:

$$R(\theta) = \frac{3}{10}\left(\cosh\theta - 1\right), \tag{A3}$$

$$a(\theta) = \frac{3}{5}\left[\frac{3}{4}\left(\sinh\theta - \theta\right)\right]^{2/3}. \tag{A4}$$

From these equations, we can obtain density fluctuation.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(x) &= \left(\frac{x_0}{x}\right)^3 - 1 \\ &= \frac{9(\theta - \sinh\theta)^2}{2(\cosh\theta - 1)^3} - 1\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

The perturbative solution for the void in the E-dS Universe model is given by

$$R(t) = R_0\left[1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k C_k a^k\right], \tag{A6}$$

where C_k is given by Lagrangian perturbative equations. Munshi, Sahni, and Starobinsky [44] derived up to the third-order perturbative solution (C_1, C_2, C_3). In addition to these, Sahni and Shandarin [54] obtained C_4 and C_5. They concluded that ZA remains the best approximation to apply to the late-time evolution of voids. Especially, if we stop to improve until even order (2nd, 4th, 6th, $\cdots$), the perturbative solution describes the contraction of void. From the viewpoint of the convergence of the series, the conclusion seems reasonable. Table I shows the coefficients of Lagrangian perturbation theory for top-hat

TABLE I: The ratio of coefficients of Lagrangian perturbation theory for top-hat spherical voids (C_{k-1}/C_k). The higher order the perturbation we consider, the worse the approximation becomes in late-time evolution. In other words, when the order of the perturbation increases, the convergence of the series becomes bad.

k	2^a	3^a	4^b	5^b	6
C_{k-1}/C_k	7	3.52174	2.80517	2.49236	2.31645

k	7	8	9	10	11
C_{k-1}/C_k	2.20357	2.12495	2.06704	2.02260	1.98743

[a]Munshi, Sahni, and Starobinsky [44]
[b]Sahni and Shandarin [54]

spherical voids. Here we derived up to the eleventh order. The convergence radius of the series a_c is defined by the ratio of the coefficients:

$$a_c \equiv \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} \,. \tag{A7}$$

When the scale factor a becomes larger than a_c, the series (Eq. (A6)) does not converge.

We estimate the convergence radius from finite series. Table I shows the ratio C_{k-1}/C_k. When k is increased, C_{k-1}/C_k decreases. Therefore, the higher order the perturbation we consider, the worse the approximation becomes in late-time evolution. If we consider only normal Lagrangian perturbation, the problem cannot be solved. The Padé approximation [40, 62] shows that the approximation for the late-time evolution of voids is improved, and therefore some applications are expected.

APPENDIX B: QUANTITIES USED IN THIS PAPER

In this paper, we use the following variables for physical quantities, coordinates, and so on.

TABLE II: Coordinate and velocity variables.

$\boldsymbol{r}$	physical coordinates	$\boldsymbol{x}$	comoving Eulerian coordinates
$\boldsymbol{q}$	Lagrangian coordinates	$\boldsymbol{u}$	velocity in physical coordinates
$\boldsymbol{v}$	peculiar velocity		

TABLE III: Time variables.

t	standard cosmological time	τ	new time variable (Eq. (37))
a	scale factor	z	red shift

TABLE IV: Cosmological parameters.

$H = \dot{a}/a$	Hubble parameter	$\mathcal{K}$	curvature constant
Λ	cosmological constant	Ω	density parameter

TABLE V: Physical quantities of matter.

ρ	matter density
ρ_b	background (averaged) matter density
P	pressure of matter
G	gravitational constant
Φ	gravitational potential
$\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}$	gravitational force in comoving coordinates
δ	density fluctuation (Eq. (12))
$\boldsymbol{s}$	Lagrangian displacement (perturbation) vector
$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	vorticity (Eq. (27))

TABLE VI: Lagrangian perturbation.

S	Lagrangian perturbation potential (longitudinal mode)
$\boldsymbol{s}^T$	Lagrangian perturbation (transverse mode)
J	Jacobian of the coordinate transformation from $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\boldsymbol{q}$
ψ	spacial part of Lagrangian perturbation potential
D_+	growing factor in ZA (Eq. (34))
D_-	decaying factor in ZA (Eq. (34))
E	growing factor in PZA (Eq. (49))
F	growing factor in PPZA (Eq. (50))
$X_{\alpha\beta}$	deformation tensor (Eq. (44))
λ_i^0	eigenvalue of $\partial\psi_{,\alpha}/\partial q_\beta$
w_i	eigenvalue of $X_{\alpha\beta}$ (Eq. (45))

TABLE VII: Some quantities appearing in the pressure model.

γ	polytropic index in equation of state
κ	proportional constant in equation of state
$\boldsymbol{K}$	Lagrangian wavenumber vector
K_J	Jeans wavenumber in Lagrangian coordinates (Eq. (95))
k_J	Jeans wavenumber in Eulerian coordinates